

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upoto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,450	12/05/2003	Christina Khoo	7129-00	1031
23909 COLGATE-P	7590 10/29/200 ALMOLIVE COMPAN	EXAMINER		
909 RIVER ROAD			FORD, ALLISON M	
PISCATAWA	Y, NJ 08855		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/729,450	KHOO ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALLISON M. FORD	1651		

	ALLISON M. FORD	1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this opication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the plication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31.5; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.314. The reply must be filed within one of the following time friods:						
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		26(a) and the appropriat	o outonaion foo				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension set have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions the under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) at set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filled within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Cor</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>	·						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_				
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 19 and 26-28.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:     See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/Leon B Lankford/							
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 19 adds new limitations (specifically requiring the presence of ascorbic acid, omega-6-fatty acids, and crude fat in the diet), which were not previously presented or considered; thus consideration of these limitations would require new search and considerations, which is not appropriate at this point in prosecution.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendments are directed to limitations not in the presently examined claims. The proposed amendment to claim 19 would introduce new limitations which have not been previously considered, thus entrance of the amendments is not proper at this point in prosecution. Because the amendment cannot be entered in part the amendments to claims 27 and 28 are not being entered either. However, while the amendments to claims 27 and 28 are not entered, it is noted that the proposed amendments to claims 27 and 28 would overcome the rejections under 50 USC 112, first paragraph, if entered as part of an RCE filing or presented in a subsequent after final amendment without the amendment to claim 19 (however the relection under 55 USC 103(4) would stand).